

201408178
Brian Bartley
Eric Shanley
Richard Reilly
Mark DeMarco

On July 20, 2014, at 7:05 pm, a man who was in custody within the 67th precinct began causing a disturbance. The precinct called for emergency services officers and emergency medical technicians. The ESU officers extracted the man from the holding cell, put him on a stretcher, and removed him from the precinct. Along the way, the man asked for a Sergeant Bartley's badge number. Sergeant Bartley provided the number and then, as confirmed by both EMTs, stated "I'll tattoo it on your forehead, fuckface." The EMTs also confirmed that the two detectives punched the man while he was being held down on the stretcher.

The CCRB found that Detective Reilly had struck the man, and that all four officers gave false official statements when they claimed that the man had not been struck and that the exchange about the badge number had not taken place.

The only officer punished by the NYPD for this incident was Sergeant Bartley, who was issued a command discipline.

The NYPD did not punish Sergeant Bartley for the false statement and the CCRB allegations are listed only as "other misconduct" in a letter from the district attorney.

The NYPD did not punish Officer DeMarco for the false statement and the CCRB allegations are redacted in a later letter from the district attorney.

The NYPD did not discipline PO Reilly for misconduct and there is no letter regarding him disclosed by the District Attorney.

CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator: Owen Godshall	Team: Squad #4	CCRB Case #: 201408178	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Force	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourt.	<input type="checkbox"/> U.S.
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abuse	<input type="checkbox"/> O.L.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Injury
Incident Date(s) Sun, 07/20/2014 7:05 PM	Location of Incident: [REDACTED]	Precinct: 67	18 Mo. SOL 01/20/2016	EO SOL 1/20/2016	
Date/Time CV Reported Mon, 07/21/2014 6:30 AM	CV Reported At: IAB	How CV Reported: Phone	Date/Time Received at CCRB Fri, 08/08/2014 2:00 PM		

Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Witness(es)	Home Address
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command
1. DTS Eric Shanley	01389	§ 87(2)(b)	ESS 09
2. DTS Richard Reilly	07063	§ 87(2)(b)	ESS 07
3. SGT Brian Bartley	02053	§ 87(2)(b)	067 PCT
4. POM Mark Demarco	10030	§ 87(2)(b)	067 PCT
5. An officer			

Witness Officer(s)	Shield No	Tax No	Cmd Name
1. POM Gregory Johnson	16340	§ 87(2)(b)	067 PCT

Officer(s)	Allegation	Investigator Recommendation
A . An officer	Force: An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].	A . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
B . SGT Brian Bartley	Abuse of Authority: Sgt. Brian Bartley threatened § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED] with the use of force.	B . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
C . DTS Eric Shanley	Force: Det. Eric Shanley used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].	C . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
D . DTS Richard Reilly	Force: Det. Richard Reilly used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].	D . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
E . SGT Brian Bartley	Force: Sgt. Brian Bartley used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].	E . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
F . POM Mark Demarco	Force: PO Mark Demarco used physical force against § 87(2)(b) [REDACTED].	F . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
G . SGT Brian Bartley	Other: Sgt. Brian Bartley provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.	G . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
H . DTS Eric Shanley	Other: Det. Eric Shanley provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.	H . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
I . DTS Richard Reilly	Other: Det. Richard Reilly provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.	I . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
J . POM Mark Demarco	Other: PO Mark Demarco provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.	J . § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]

Case Summary

On July 21, 2014, Sgt. Junmei Zhang of the 67th Precinct filed this complaint with IAB via telephone on behalf of an injured prisoner, § 87(2)(b). On the same day, EMT § 87(2)(b) also filed an FDNY Unusual Occurrence Report regarding the incident. This report was forwarded to IAB on July 25, 2014. Sgt. Zhang's and EMT § 87(2)(b) complaints were referred together to the CCRB via IAB log #2014-26168 on August 8, 2014. Due to media attention, this case was added to the agency's sensitive case list (See Board Review: News Articles).

On July 20, 2015, § 87(2)(b) was arrested for assault by PO Gregory Johnson. He was taken to the § 87(2)(b) for processing. While in the holding cells, § 87(2)(b) acted in an agitated and aggressive manner, and started striking his head against the cell bars. As a result, Sgt. Zhang decided to treat § 87(2)(b) as an emotionally disturbed person (EDP) and have him removed from the stationhouse to a hospital for treatment. Sgt. Zhang requested both EMS and ESU to respond to the stationhouse to help move § 87(2)(b).

Two ESU officers, Det. Richard Reilly and Det. Eric Shanley, responded to the call. EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) also arrived. Det. Reilly and Det. Shanley entered the holding cell to retrieve § 87(2)(b). He was already in handcuffs at the time. The ESU officers placed shackles on his legs as well. § 87(2)(b) was moved up onto the stretcher. He resisted the officers by attempting to twist his body away from them. One of the officers responded by punching § 87(2)(b) once in the face (**Allegation A**).

§ 87(2)(b) was then strapped onto the stretcher and rolled out to the front desk. Several precinct officers, including Sgt. Brian Bartley and PO Mark DeMarco, were standing by the desk. Sgt. Zhang stood behind the desk. § 87(2)(b) asked Sgt. Bartley for his shield number. Sgt. Bartley provided his shield number verbally. He then told § 87(2)(b) "I'll tattoo it on your forehead, fuckface" (**Allegation B**). § 87(2)(b) replied by spitting on several of the officers, including Sgt. Bartley. Sgt. Bartley, PO Johnson and the ESU officers then punched § 87(2)(b) several times in the face (**Allegations C, D, E and F**). § 87(2)(b) was then taken off the stretcher and placed into a mesh restraining bag. Once held in the bag, § 87(2)(b) was removed to an ambulance and taken to Kings County Hospital for treatment.

Mediation, Civil and Criminal Histories

This complaint was ineligible for mediation. § 87(2)(b) filed a notice of claim with the Comptroller's office on September 9, 2015. In it, § 87(2)(b) claims false arrest, and seeks \$1,000,000 in damages (See Board Review: Notice of Claim). To date, no 50-h hearing has been held. On August 15, 2014, § 87(2)(b) pled guilty to assault, and was sentenced to ten months' incarceration. § 87(2)(b)

Civilian and Officer CCRB Histories

- This is the first CCRB complaint filed by or involving § 87(2)(b) or EMT § 87(2)(b) (See Board Review: Civilian CCRB Histories).
- Sgt. Bartley has been a member of the service for thirteen years and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him. Two prior discourtesies allegations against

- him were closed as complainant uncooperative and unsubstantiated, respectively, while one prior force allegation was closed as unfounded.
- Det. Shanley has been a member of the service for eighteen years and there are three substantiated CCRB allegations against him. In case #200206075, gun drawn, discourtesy and abuse-other allegations were substantiated against him. Charges were recommended, but the allegations were dismissed at trial. One prior force complaint against Det. Shanley was withdrawn.
 - Det. Reilly has been a member of the service for twenty years, and there are no substantiated CCRB allegations against him. Two prior force allegations against him were closed as unsubstantiated and exonerated, respectively.

Findings and Recommendations

Explanation of Subject Officer Identification

- EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) alleged that a total of four ESU officers responded to the incident. Two of these ESU officers entered the holding cells to remove § 87(2)(b). EMT § 87(2)(b) described one as a 5'6" white male in his late thirties with a hat, and the other as a 6' blond white male in his late thirties. EMT § 87(2)(b) was only able to describe these officers as white males. Det. Shanley and Det. Reilly identified themselves as the two ESU officers who entered the holding cells. Det. Shanley is a § 87(2)(b)-old 5'7" white male with brown hair, while Det. Reilly is a § 87(2)(b)-old 5'9" bald white male. Both officers denied that they struck § 87(2)(b). As both officers are approximately the same height, and the hat that the subject officer wore obscured his hair (or lack thereof), the investigation could not clearly identify which officer allegedly struck § 87(2)(b) in the holding cells. Since Det. Shanley and Det. Reilly are normally assigned to separate ESU trucks, a force allegation has been pleaded against "an officer" from an unknown command.
- EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) stated that a total of four ESU officers responded to the incident and used force against § 87(2)(b) by the front desk. All of the officers interviewed, however, stated that Det. Reilly and Det. Shanley were the only ESU officers who responded. The ESU report prepared by Det. Reilly confirms that they were the only two ESU officers to respond to the incident (See Board Review: ESU Report). Both Det. Reilly and Det. Shanley confirmed that they removed § 87(2)(b) from the holding cells and interacted with him by the front desk. Therefore, force allegations have been pleaded against them.
- The EMTs alleged that the officer who § 87(2)(b) requested his shield number from provided this information verbally, and then threatened to tattoo the shield number onto § 87(2)(b)'s forehead. EMT § 87(2)(b) further alleged that this officer punched § 87(2)(b) in the face after § 87(2)(b) spat on him. Sgt. Bartley confirmed that § 87(2)(b) both requested his shield number and spat on him. Therefore, threat of force and force allegations have been pleaded against him.
- § 87(2)(b) described one of the precinct officers present during the incident as a 5'11" light-skinned male with black hair. This description corresponds to PO Mark DeMarco, who is a 5'11" white male with black hair. At the time of the incident, PO DeMarco was assigned as the 67th Precinct's cell attendant. He confirmed during his interview that he was one of the officers present when § 87(2)(b) was removed from the stationhouse, and that he assisted in moving § 87(2)(b) into a restraining bag.

Allegations Not Pleaded

EMT § 87(2)(b) alleged that when Sgt. Bartley threatened to tattoo his shield number on § 87(2)(b)'s face, he also referred to § 87(2)(b) as “fuckface.” EMT § 87(2)(b) did not allege the use of profanity by any of the officers. Since EMT § 87(2)(b) alleged that this remark was made as part of Sgt. Bartley’s threat, the discourtesy allegation has been subsumed within the threat of force allegation.

Allegation A: Force – An officer used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) was interviewed at § 87(2)(b) on August 29, 2014 (See Board Review: § 87(2)(b)'s Statements). § 87(2)(b) alleged that when he was removed from his cell, he was placed in handcuffs and leg shackles. At the time, § 87(2)(b) was surrounded by several officers, but was only able to describe one of them, whom the investigation identified as PO DeMarco. § 87(2)(b) did not recall how many officers in total were with him at the time. § 87(2)(b) lay down on a stretcher while still in his restraints. He denied making any movements or offering any resistance at that time. When § 87(2)(b) asked to go to the hospital, he was punched several times in the face. He did not recall how many times he was struck. He could not tell if he was punched by one or multiple officers, and did not know which officer or officers struck him. § 87(2)(b) began to move in and out of consciousness after these blows, and had only a limited recollection of what happened afterwards. § 87(2)(b) claimed that the force of these blows knocked him out of the stretcher.

EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) were interviewed at the CCRB on September 19, 2014 (See Board Review: EMT Statements). EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b)'s testimony was generally consistent. Both EMTs stated that two ESU officers, identified by the investigation as Det. Reilly and Det. Shanley, attempted to move § 87(2)(b) onto a stretcher inside the holding cell area. At the time, § 87(2)(b) was in handcuffs and leg shackles. When § 87(2)(b) started fidgeting on the stretcher, one of the two ESU officers punched him once in the face. EMT § 87(2)(b) described this officer as a 5’6” white male in his late thirties wearing a hat.

Det. Shanley was interviewed by IAB on October 27, 2014. Det. Shanley was interviewed at the CCRB on March 27, 2015 while Det. Reilly was interviewed on June 17, 2015 (See Board Review: MOS Statements). Both Det. Shanley and Det. Reilly stated that they were the only ESU officers to respond to the incident. When the detectives entered § 87(2)(b)'s cell and attempted to handcuff § 87(2)(b) him, § 87(2)(b) started flailing his arms at his side. Det. Reilly additionally alleged that § 87(2)(b) attempted to strike him. The detectives pushed § 87(2)(b) face-down on the floor and pulled his arms behind his back. While on the ground, § 87(2)(b) slammed his head against the ground several times. Once he was handcuffed, they called for the stretcher to move. § 87(2)(b) was loaded into the stretcher without incident. The detectives denied striking § 87(2)(b) while he was strapped into the stretcher.

§ 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b) stated that his recollection was limited due to numerous blows to the head that he received as he was removed from the stationhouse. While § 87(2)(b) generally confirmed that he was punched shortly after being loaded onto the stretcher, both the EMTs and the officers stated that § 87(2)(b) was alone with the two ESU officers, rather than surrounded by a large group. They also stated that § 87(2)(b) was struck only once in the face, and was not knocked from the stretcher.

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] EMT § 87(2)(b) and EMT § 87(2)(b) both work side-by-side with the police on a regular basis. § 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation B: Abuse of Authority – Sgt. Brian Bartley threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force.

It is undisputed that § 87(2)(b) asked Sgt. Bartley for his shield number. § 87(2)(b) did not recall how Sgt. Bartley responded to the request for his shield number. Both EMTs stated that Sgt. Bartley verbally provided his shield number, and then told him, “I’ll tattoo it on your forehead.” Sgt. Bartley was interviewed by IAB on August 7, 2015, and the CCRB on March 25, 2015 (See Board Review: MOS Statements). He confirmed that he provided his shield number to § 87(2)(b). Sgt. Bartley and the rest of the officers interviewed denied that Sgt. Bartley threatened to forcibly tattoo § 87(2)(b) or otherwise use force against him.

§ 87(2)(g) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Allegation C: Force – Det. Eric Shanley used physical force against § 87(2)(b)
Allegation D: Force – Det. Richard Reilly used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

§ 87(2)(b) alleged that he fell from his stretcher after being punched in the face. § 87(2)(b) did not recall his actions while on the ground, but stated that he may have shouted at or spit on at least one of the surrounding officers. Once down, § 87(2)(b) was struck several more times in the face. As before, he did not know how many times he was struck, or which officer or officers struck him. After striking him, § 87(2)(b) was lifted back up onto the stretcher, at which time he was wrapped in a restraining bag. In § 87(2)(b)'s account, this force all took place in the holding cell area. He stated that he received bruising to his temples, eyes and lips as a result of

the officers' blows. § 87(2)(b) denied intentionally striking his head against any surfaces during the incident.

The EMTs testified that after § 87(2)(b) was placed on the stretcher and strapped down, the ESU officers wheeled him to the front desk. Both EMTs stated that several precinct officers (two of whom the investigation identified as Sgt. Bartley and PO DeMarco) were waiting. There, § 87(2)(b) spat on Sgt. Bartley. EMT § 87(2)(b) further alleged that § 87(2)(b) spat on at least one of the ESU officers. The two ESU officers then punched § 87(2)(b) several times in the face. As a result of these blows, § 87(2)(b) momentarily lost consciousness, and began bleeding from the mouth. § 87(2)(b) was then placed in a restraining bag and removed from the stationhouse. § 87(2)(b) suffered swelling to his eyes, forehead and lips as a result of the officers' blows. EMT § 87(2)(b) intervened by draping his body over § 87(2)(b)s, preventing the officers from striking him further. Neither EMT saw § 87(2)(b) bang his head on any surfaces during the incident. EMT § 87(2)(b) prepared an FDNY Unusual Occurrence Report regarding the incident. The report was generally consistent with EMT § 87(2)(b) testimony, including his allegation that multiple officers struck § 87(2)(b) (See Board Review: FDNY Unusual Occurrence Report).

The ESU officers confirmed that § 87(2)(b) was moved to the front desk after he was strapped to the stretcher. While they also confirmed that § 87(2)(b) spat on Sgt. Bartley, both officers denied punching § 87(2)(b). Det. Shanley and Det. Reilly denied punching, kicking or striking § 87(2)(b) at any point during the incident. The ESU officers responded to § 87(2)(b)s spitting by pulling his shirt over his head to block further saliva, and by placing him into a restraining bag. Neither detective recalled § 87(2)(b) suffering any injuries during the incident. Neither recalled the EMTs moving to protect § 87(2)(b) or complaining about the officers' actions towards him. All of the other officers denied seeing any ESU officer strike § 87(2)(b) at any point during the incident.

EMT § 87(2)(b) prepared § 87(2)(b)s Prehospital Care Report. § 87(2)(g) § 87(2)(b)s hospital records indicated that he suffered bruising to his left eye and a laceration to his lips. Subsequent CT scans showed that he did not suffer any fractures. § 87(2)(b) stated that these injuries resulted from officers beating him.

NYPD Patrol Guide procedure 203-11 states that officers may use limited force in order to overcome resistance while taking a mentally ill or emotionally disturbed person into custody (See Board Review: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)
§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation E: Force – Sgt. Brian Bartley used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

Allegation F: Force – PO Mark DeMarco used physical force against § 87(2)(b)

In addition to the force described above, EMT § 87(2)(b) stated that Sgt. Bartley and one other officer from the 67th Precinct punched § 87(2)(b) in the face after he spat on Sgt. Bartley. EMT § 87(2)(b) however, did not corroborate this. He stated that only the ESU officers punched § 87(2)(b). He did not allege that any of the precinct officers used force against § 87(2)(b) at any point.

§ 87(2)(b) stated that he did not recall how many officers struck him, or if he was struck by a single officer or multiple officers. He did, however, specify that PO DeMarco was one of the officers present during the alleged force, and that PO DeMarco hit him at least once. He was unable to provide details about any of the other officers who struck him.

PO DeMarco was interviewed at the CCRB on May 26, 2015 (See Board Review: MOS Statements). Both he and Sgt. Bartley confirmed that they were present when § 87(2)(b) was brought to the front desk. They also stated that they assisted the ESU officers in transporting § 87(2)(b) by assisting in moving him into the restraining bag. Both officers, however, denied striking § 87(2)(b) at any point. All of the officers interviewed denied that any of the precinct officers used any kind of force against § 87(2)(b).

§ 87(2)(g)

Allegation G: Other – Sgt. Brian Bartley provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.

Allegation H: Other – Det. Eric Shanley provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.

Allegation I: Other – Det. Richard Reilly provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.

Allegation J: Other – PO Mark DeMarco provided a false official statement to the CCRB in violation of Patrol Guide procedure 203-08.

As has been established above, Sgt. Bartley improperly threatened § 87(2)(b) with the use of force, while Det. Shanley and Det. Reilly struck § 87(2)(b) while he was handcuffed and restrained. It has also been established that PO DeMarco was present to witness these allegations. All four officers, however, denied that either Sgt. Bartley's threat or the ESU officers' use of force took place.

NYPD Patrol Guide procedure 203-08 forbids officers from knowingly making a false official statement during administrative proceedings, including CCRB interviews. This procedure does not apply when an officer denies an administrative charge of misconduct (See Board Review: Legal Reference).

§ 87(2)(g)
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

Team: _____

Investigator: _____
Signature Print Date

Supervisor: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date

Reviewer: _____
Title/Signature Print Date



Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
KINGS COUNTY**

350 JAY STREET
BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908
(718) 250-2000
WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

[INSERT NAME]
Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]
Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#####]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: MARK DEMARCO

MOS TAX: [REDACTED]

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move in limine to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING CCRB SUBSTANTIATED AND/OR PENDING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER:

Disclosure # 1:

CCRB CASE: 201408178
REPORT DATE: 08/08/2014

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Disclosure # 2:

CCRB CASE: 201603006
REPORT DATE: 04/07/2016
INCIDENT DATE: 04/06/2016
CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

1. Abuse - Refusal to provide name/shield number
NYPD Disposition: Instructions

Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney
Kings County



Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney

DISTRICT ATTORNEY
KINGS COUNTY
350 JAY STREET
BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908
(718) 250-2000
WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

[INSERT NAME]
Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]
Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#####]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: RICHARD REILLY

MOS TAX: [REDACTED]

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move in limine to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

Disclosure # 1:

MOS REILLY IS A NAMED DEFENDANT IN THE FOLLOWING CIVIL ACTION(S):
STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILES INSURANCE COMPANY AS SUBROGEE OF STELLA V. BRAMBLE V. CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL, 12081-13 FILED IN QUEENS COUNTY CIVIL COURT.

Disclosure # 2:

The People are aware of the following federal civil rights action(s) and/or state tort civil lawsuit(s) in which the indicated officer has been named as an individual defendant. Note, disposition information may not be current:

PLAINTIFF	DOCKET	COURT	FILED	DISPOSED	DISPOSITION
Karen Brim	13-CV-1082	E.D.N.Y.	3-1-13	8-3-17	Judgment for the plaintiff, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 68 (following a jury verdict but before an ordered new trial as to damages)
Samuel Semple	12-CV-3491	E.D.N.Y.	7-13-12	1-4-13	Settlement, without admission of fault or liability

BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE UNAWARE OF ANY PENDING AND OR SUBSTANTIATED CCRB ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER.

Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney
Kings County



Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
KINGS COUNTY**

350 JAY STREET
BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908
(718) 250-2000
WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

[INSERT NAME]
Assistant District Attorney

[INSERT DATE]

[INSERT D/C INFO]

Re: [INSERT CASE NAME]
Kings County Dkt./Ind. No. [#####]

In connection with the above-named case, the People voluntarily provide the following information regarding:

MOS NAME: **BRIAN BARTLEY**

MOS TAX: [REDACTED]

in satisfaction (to the extent applicable) of their constitutional, statutory, and ethical obligations. Further, the People reserve the right to move in limine to preclude reference to this information, or otherwise to object to its use and/or introduction into evidence.

Disclosure # 1:

THE NYPD SUBSTANTIATED THE FOLLOWING ALLEGATION(S), DATED 01/21/2015, AGAINST MOS BARTLEY:

ALLEGATION 1: FAIL TO TAKE/ MAKE REPORT

ALLEGATION 2: DEPARTMENT RULES AND VIOLATIONS – OTHER (FAIL TO MAKE PROPER NOTIFICATION)

CASE STATUS: CLOSED ON 10/01/2015

ACTION TAKEN: SCHEDULE A COMMAND DISCIPLINE

BASED UPON CCRB DOCUMENTS UP TO DATE THROUGH OCTOBER 13, 2020, THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING CCRB SUBSTANTIATED AND/OR PENDING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THIS OFFICER:

Disclosure # 2:

CCRB CASE: 201311160

REPORT DATE: 11/27/2013

[REDACTED]

Disclosure # 3:

CCRB CASE: 201408178

REPORT DATE: 08/08/2014

INCIDENT DATE: 07/20/2014

CCRB SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATION(S):

1. Abuse - Threat of force (verbal or physical)
NYPD Disposition: Command Discipline – A

OTHER MISCONDUCT NOTED:

1. OMN – Other Misconduct

Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney
Kings County